

I'm not robot



Air canada flight 143 accident report

Has air canada ever had a plane crash. Air canada baggage incident report number. Canada air 143. What caused the fire on air canada flight 797. Air canada 143 air crash investigation. Air canada flight 143 documentary. Air canada flight 143 incident. How many air canada planes have crashed. Air canada incident report number.

Air Canada Flight 143, known as the Gimli Glider, experienced a fuel exhaustion emergency on July 23, 1983, during a scheduled flight from Montreal to Edmonton. The Boeing 767-233 aircraft ran out of fuel at an altitude of 41,000 feet due to a refuelling error and had only 45% of its required fuel load on board. The crew successfully glided the plane to an emergency landing at Gimli Industrial Park Airport in Manitoba. The incident was caused by a combination of factors, including a faulty fuel-quantity indicator sensor, incorrect manual measurements, and inadequate communication between pilots and ground staff. The maintenance crew's failure to address the issue promptly led to the aircraft running out of fuel halfway through the flight. Thankfully, no serious injuries occurred, and only minor damage was sustained. The Gimli Glider incident resulted in significant changes to Air Canada's procedures and safety measures. The Board of Inquiry's findings recommended improved fuelling protocols, training, and manual updates to prevent similar incidents in the future. The issue with Air Canada's Boeing 767 fleet had already been noted by airlines. The board recommended converting all aircraft to metric units immediately, as a mixed unit system was deemed riskier than an entirely imperial or metric one.[6] On July 22, 1983, the plane C-GAUN underwent routine checks in Edmonton, where technicians found a faulty fuel quantity indication system (FQIS). However, this information wasn't relayed to the pilots for some time. When it was, they took precautions by taking an additional driptstick measurement and converting it from centimeters to kilograms, which matched the FQIS reading.[6] The plane continued to Toronto and then Montreal without incident. Later, during a handover in Montreal, Captain Robert Pearson learned about the FQIS problem and decided to take on enough fuel for the journey to Edmonton without stopping at Ottawa. Meanwhile, an avionics technician had enabled the defective channel by mistake while performing a self-test.[6] When Pearson entered the cockpit, he found the FQIS screen blank as expected but made another calculation using the density figure from the Air Canada refueler's slip instead of the correct one for metric units. He then entered this reading into the flight management computer.[8] The airplane flew to Ottawa without incident and took another driptstick measurement there, which was converted again with the incorrect density figure.[6][9] Since the calculations suggested they had enough fuel to reach Edmonton, no refueling occurred at Ottawa.[6][9] Flight 143 continued on its journey over Red Lake, Ontario. The planes alarm system went off because of a problem with one of its fuel pumps. The pilots shut it off thinking they could still fly without it, but then another alarm sounded and they had to divert to winnipeg. within seconds, the first engine stopped working and the pilots started preparing for an emergency landing using only one engine. The pilot had to make an educated guess about the optimal glide speed of the 767 in order to maximize its range and potential landing sites. Based on his calculations, he flew the plane at around 220 knots. First Officer Quintal began to assess whether they could reach Winnipeg by using altitude readings from a mechanical backup instrument and distance traveled provided by air traffic controllers. In just 10 nautical miles, the aircraft lost 5,000 feet, resulting in a glide ratio of approximately 12:1. At this point, Quintal suggested landing at the former RCAF Station Gimli, unaware that part of it had been converted into a race track complex known as Gimli Motorsports Park. As they descended onto the decommissioned runway, the aircraft's reduced power made it increasingly difficult to control. The pilots used gravity to lower and lock the landing gear in place, but the nose wheel failed to secure properly. As the plane approached the runway, it became apparent that it was coming in too high and fast, increasing the risk of running off the runway. Without hydraulic pressure, the pilots couldn't extend the flaps or slats, which would have helped slow the aircraft down for a safe landing. Instead, they briefly considered a 360° turn but decided they didn't have enough altitude to execute it. They then attempted a forward slip to increase drag and reduce altitude, performing a maneuver known as "crossing the controls" The rudder was turned one way, while the ailerons were moved in the opposite direction. This technique is commonly used in smaller aircraft like gliders and light planes to slow down without increasing speed. It's rarely seen on larger commercial jets except in unusual situations. During this flight, however, it caused problems. The pilots noticed that the plane was moving slowly even when they straightened out after doing a forward slip. The engine's performance was reduced due to the disrupted airflow past the ram air turbine. As a result, the plane made very little noise during its approach and caught people on the ground by surprise. Fortunately, two important factors helped prevent disaster: the failure of the front landing gear to lock in place when it dropped, and the presence of a guardrail that allowed people to safely cross the runway. When Pearson landed, he applied the brakes hard but this caused him to skid and lose two tires. The plane's nose wheel collapsed as it hit the ground, forcing it into its well and causing the aircraft to bounce off the ground before scraping along. This extra friction helped slow down the plane, preventing a crash. Pearson then used more right-braking which made the main landing gear touch the guardrail. Air Canada Flight 143 finally came to rest after running out of fuel 17 minutes later. There were no serious injuries reported among passengers or people on the ground except for some minor ones when exiting through the rear slides due to their shorter length. Racers and fire safety personnel managed a small fire in the nose area using portable extinguishers. The Transportation Safety Board of Canada praised Air Canada's crew for their professionalism, but criticized the company for equipment shortcomings and failure to properly manage fuel loads during abnormal situations The investigation into the Gimli Glider incident revealed several critical errors made by Air Canada. The airline failed to properly reallocate tasks for checking fuel load after downsizing from a three-person crew to two on older aircraft. This oversight, combined with inadequate spare parts and training, contributed to the severity of the crash. It was also found that the pilots were not adequately trained in the metric system. The Boeing 767's Fuel Quantity Indicator System (FQIS) is designed as a dual-channel system, with each channel cross-checking the fuel load. However, if one channel fails, it can still operate alone, but the indicated quantity must be verified against a floatstick measurement before takeoff. If both channels fail, no fuel display appears in the cockpit, and the aircraft should not fly. The engineer who performed routine maintenance on the aircraft prior to the accident had previously encountered an FQIS fault on this same plane from Toronto earlier in the month. By disabling the second channel with the circuit breaker, he temporarily restored functionality but noted this action in the maintenance log. Despite this record, the airline did not follow proper procedures for addressing and correcting these issues. The safety board recommended that Air Canada increase its spare parts inventory to include replacements for defective FQIS components, as well as providing more comprehensive training for pilots and fuelling personnel on the metric system. These recommendations were published in the final investigation report in April 1985. The return flight from Montreal was cleared after Captain Pearson and First Officer Quintal were informed of the problem with the FQIS. However, this led to further misunderstandings about the aircraft's serviceability, which ultimately put passengers at risk. A maintenance worker had previously attempted to troubleshoot the faulty FQIS but ended up disabling it completely, leading to blank fuel gauges in the cockpit. Despite this, Captain Pearson consulted the MMEL and found that the aircraft could still be flown if the fuel quantity was confirmed using measuring sticks. The incident highlighted issues with the unreliability of the 767's systems, as well as a lack of standardization in maintenance procedures. The crew's misunderstandings about the aircraft's serviceability added to the risks faced by passengers. As the MMEL was being phased out in favor of a log-based system, Air Canada's Boeing 767 fleet posed a unique challenge. With only two pilots on board, there was no clear responsibility for overseeing fuel calculations. On the day of the accident, two technicians and two pilots worked together to determine how much fuel was needed for the flight from Montreal to Ottawa and then Edmonton. Since the FQIS wasn't functioning, Captain Pearson decided to take on enough fuel to reach Edmonton without refuelling at Ottawa. To make this calculation, he needed to convert the amount of fuel already in the tanks from litres to kilograms, subtract that figure from the total required fuel mass, and then convert the result back into volume. The correct calculation would have been: first, converting the 7,682 litres of fuel on board to its equivalent mass in kilograms (6,169 kg), then subtracting this figure from the total required fuel mass (22,300 kg) to get 16,131 kg, and finally converting this result back into volume (20,088 litres). However, due to the ongoing conversion from imperial to metric units in Canada's aviation sector, the density of jet fuel was reported as 1.77 lb/L. Captain Pearson and First Officer Quintal misinterpreted the calculation by using this density without converting it to kg/L, resulting in an incorrect calculation. Instead of taking on the correct amount of fuel (20,088 litres), they would have taken on less than the required volume. Air Canada Flight 797 experienced a critical fuelling error during a stopover in Ottawa, leading to severe consequences. The crew took on only 22,300 lb of fuel instead of the required 49,170 lb, resulting in less than half the amount needed for their destination. The flight management computer system failed to update properly, and the captain relied on manual calculations. However, he misestimated the fuel density, entering an incorrect figure into the system. This led to a significant underestimation of the actual fuel quantity. Fortunately, the crew at Edmonton had previously performed accurate fuelling calculations, ensuring their safety during the same route. In contrast, the Ottawa crew's miscalculations put the entire flight at risk. As a result, Captain Pearson and First Officer Quintal faced disciplinary actions, including demotion and suspension. However, they were later awarded the Fédération Aéronautique Internationale Diploma for Outstanding Airmanship in 1985. Their mistake was compounded by similar crashes in simulator sessions conducted at Vancouver. Nevertheless, Captain Quintal eventually recovered from his suspension and rose through the ranks to become a captain again. The aircraft underwent temporary repairs at Gimli before being fully restored at a Winnipeg maintenance base. It was subsequently returned to service with Air Canada after a successful appeal against their suspensions. The story of the Gimli Glider began on July 23, 1983, when a fuel-starved Air Canada jet was forced to make an emergency landing in a cornfield near Gimli, Manitoba. The incident has been dramatized in several films and TV shows, including the TV movie "Falling from the Sky: Flight 174" and a Mayday episode titled "Gimli Glider." After nearly 25 years of service, the plane flew its final revenue flight on January 1, 2008. The Gimli Glider was eventually retired and donated to a museum in Gimli. The story of an Air Canada Boeing 767 aircraft (C-GAUN), which made a heroic emergency landing in Gimli, Manitoba on July 23, 1983, has been documented by multiple sources. The incident is widely known as the "Gimli Glider." An official report from the Board of Inquiry, led by George H. Lockwood, investigated the circumstances surrounding the accident and concluded its findings in April 1985. A Boeing 767 operated by Air Canada, known as the "Gimli Glider," made headlines on July 23, 1983, when it ran out of fuel at an altitude of 41,000 feet while en route from Montreal to Edmonton. The flight, carrying 61 passengers and a crew of eight, was piloted by Capt. Robert Pearson and First Officer Maurice Quintal. The aircraft, with tail number C-GAUN, was forced to make an emergency landing in Gimli, Manitoba, at approximately 8:15 p.m. This unprecedented event occurred due to a malfunction that caused the fuel quantity indicator to display an incorrect reading, leading the pilots to believe they had enough fuel for the remainder of the flight. Despite the gravity of the situation, Capt. Pearson and First Officer Quintal remained calm and worked together to execute the emergency landing. Their skill and composure ultimately ensured the safety of everyone on board. The Boeing 767, designated as Flight 143, was one of the first dozen jets purchased by Air Canada from Boeing. This aircraft, along with its peers, stood out for its massive size and sophisticated technology. With two powerful turbofan engines providing a combined thrust of 96,000 pounds, the 767 had a cruising speed of Mach 0.90 or approximately 480 knots (552 mph) and a range of 5,000 miles. The plane's fuselage was four and a half feet wider than that of single-aisle jetliners, accommodating seven passengers abreast with two aisles. The computerization system on the 767 truly set it apart, as almost every instrument and function ran through computers, with critical systems having backups. Despite being impressed by this technology, pilots still had mixed feelings about relinquishing control to automation. To maintain their stick-and-rudder skills, many pilots would fly gliders or smaller aircraft in their free time. However, an unforeseen issue arose with the fuel processor on some newly delivered 767s, including those at Air Canada. This malfunction and its backup were critical factors in the problems faced by Flight 143. Additionally, human error played a role due to the airline's decision to adopt metrification, which led to a mix of metric and Imperial measurements (non-metric) for fuel, among other things. In the parking lot, Pearson had a brief encounter with Capt. John Weir, who had recently flown 604 from Edmonton to Montreal. They discussed issues related to the fuel system, including the possibility of operating without fuel gauges. Air Canada Flight 143 was facing trouble from the start due to miscommunication between ground staff and pilots. Weir ordered manual checks of fuel levels, but maintenance control assured him this had been done despite some equipment being inoperable. However, Air Canada's maintenance system had recently changed, leading Pearson to assume that two inoperative fuel gauges were approved for dispatch by maintenance control. Twice-repeated manual measurements and extra attention from qualified mechanics further convinced Pearson to accept the flight. As the plane took off into perfect weather conditions, troubles began shortly after departure with rapid beeps, amber warning lights, and failing fuel pump displays on computer-like screens in front of both pilots. The crew decided to divert to Winnipeg, but more issues arose when fuel pressure failed in the right main tank, followed by engine failures, leaving the plane completely out of fuel. Operating the radio and backup instruments became a challenge as the flight management computer stopped providing vital information. Only four standby instruments remained functional: magnetic compass, artificial horizon, airspeed indicator, and altimeter. However, due to its high position above the windscreen, reading the compass was nearly impossible. The crew of the 767 aircraft found themselves in an unusual situation with fewer working instruments than Charles Lindbergh's Spirit of St. Louis had at a time when flying equipment was much less advanced. They were approximately 65 miles from Winnipeg and 45 miles from Gimli, Manitoba, with no computed gliding performance as fuel exhaustion was not anticipated for modern airliners. The pilots faced the dilemma of determining the correct airspeed to achieve the greatest range while considering the parasite drag caused by two inoperative engines. With an estimated rate of descent at 1,500 feet per minute and a selected airspeed of just over 200 kt, they relied on ATC for guidance through radar tracking, although their transponder was not functioning due to power loss. Without a readable compass, the crew used top-of-cloud references from ATC to estimate heading changes. The unique situation of flying a large aircraft manually had become nearly impossible since the mid-1930s and late 1970s due to the size of modern airplanes. However, the Boeing 767 was equipped with a ram air turbine (RAT) as an emergency power source. The crew extended the RAT from a compartment near the right wheel well, which provided just enough power for the primary control surfaces but not sufficient for operating flaps or speed brakes. This limited capability meant they could not completely lower the landing gear to ensure a safe touchdown on the runway, making precise calculations of altitude, rate of descent, and distance essential. Due to technical issues with the descent profile and vertical speed indicator, pilot Quintal improvised a new plan by remembering altitudes and receiving distance updates from air traffic control. He knew they wouldn't make it to Winnipeg, so Gimli was their only viable option. However, unknown to them, part of the runway at Gimli was being used as a dragstrip for a sports car racing club. Quintal delayed lowering the landing gear until 90 seconds before touchdown due to concerns it would further shorten their range. Despite this, his first attempt failed due to insufficient electrical and hydraulic power. Quintal then took the initiative to pull an alternate gear-extension switch, successfully deploying the main wheel assembly but only partially deploying the nose gear. The pilots faced a tough decision: with too little airspeed to avoid stalling as they approached the runway, yet too much speed to stop in time, they risked overshooting the runway. Then, just 35 seconds before landing, pilot Pearson realized he was too high and executed a daring sideslip maneuver to compensate for the lack of flaps and speed brakes. Teenager Art Zuke narrowly escaped disaster when Flight 143 flew down the dragstrip towards him, but he managed to get out of the way with his friends. After the close call, Zuke commented that he saw the plane flying "sort of sideways and cockeyed." The pilots, Pearson and Quintal, had actually seen a sideslip, which they maintained until just before landing. However, their attempt at regaining control resulted in the aircraft's tires blowing out on takeoff. As the plane skidded down the runway, it stopped 500 feet from a group of spectators, including sports car racers and their families. Thankfully, there were no fatalities or serious injuries, and the aircraft was later repaired and put back into service. The incident, known as the "Gimli Glider," led to an investigation that found a malfunctioning fuel processor was the main cause of the accident.